# Eklutna Fish \& Wildlife Program 

 Alternatives Analysis - Meeting 3June 14, 2023

## Agenda

| 9:00-9:15 | Introduction |
| :--- | :--- |
| 9:15-9:30 | Downstream Migration Discussion |
| 9:30-10:00 | Lake/Tributary Habitat Discussion |
| 10:00-11:30 | Alternatives Analysis Results |
| 11:30-11:45 | Lunch |
| 11:45-12:30 | Geomorphology Modeling Results |
| 12:30-1:00 | Key Takeaways and Next Steps |
| 1:00 | Adjourn |



Downstream Migration Attraction

## II Downstream Migration - Dam Release



## I. Downstream Migration - Floating Surface Collector



## III Downstream Migration - Floating Surface Collector

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { ID } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Name | Owner | Location | Reservoir Fluctuation <br> (ft) | Screen Type | Fish Transport | Flow ( $\mathrm{ft}^{3 / \mathrm{s}}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 01 | North Fork | PGE | Clackamas River, WA | 10 | FSC | Bypass Conduit | 600 / 1,000 |
| 02 | Lower Baker | PSE | Baker River, WA | 30 | FSC | Trap and Transport | 500 / 1,000 |
| 03 | Upper Baker | PSE | Baker River, WA | 30 | FSC | Trap and Transport | 500 / 1,000 |
| 04 | Cougar (in design) | USACE | S. Fork McKenzie River, OR | 180 | FSC | Trap and Transport | 1000 |
| 05 | Cougar | USACE | S. Fork McKenzie River, OR | 180 | PFFC | Trap and Transport | 100 |
| 06 | Swift FSC | PacifiCorp | Lewis River, WA | 100 | FSC | Trap and Transport | $600 / 800$ |
| 07 | Cushman | Tacoma Power | Skokomish River, WA | 20 | FSC | Trap and Transport | 250 |
| 08 | Trail Bridge (design only) | EWEB | McKenzie River, OR | NA | FSS | Bypass Conduit | 940 |
| 09 | Round Butte | PGE | Deschutes River, OR | 1-9 | FSS | Trap and Transport | 6,000 |
| 10 | River Mill | PGE | Clackamas River, WA | 2-6 | FSS | Bypass Conduit | $500 / 700$ |
| 11 | Soda Springs Fish Passage | PacifiCorp | North Umpqua River | 14 | FSS | Bypass Conduit | 1,870 |
| FSC $=$ Floating Surface Collector |  |  | FSS = Fish Screen Structure |  | PFFC = Portable Floating Fish Collector |  |  |

Lake/Tributary Spawning/Rearing Habitat

## II East/West Forks Eklutna Creek

- Habitat in tributaries to Eklutna Lake including 13 tributaries to Eklutna Creek were surveyed for mesohabitat and fish presence in the summer and fall 2021
- Mainstem habitat in the East and West Forks of Eklutna Creek suitable for ocean-run spawning salmon was surveyed in September of 2022.


## III Mainstem Spawning Habitat Survey Area



- Within the surveyed area, up to 4 acres of suitable spawning habitat for ocean run spawning salmon was documented.
- Additionally, 1.4 acre was identified in tributaries of the West Fork.


## III West Fork Eklutna Creek Survey




## III West Fork Eklutna Creek Survey



## III West Fork Eklutna Creek Survey



## II|Lake Sockeye Spawning Habitat

- We surveyed the suitability of lakeshore spawning habitat within accessible areas of the varial zone during the lowest lake level (829') in May of 2021. It was not feasible to perform similar habitat surveys in inundated areas of the lake.
- Habitat identified as suitable for spawning of Kokanee and Sockeye ( $\sim 2$ acres) included areas with slope, substrate size, and the presence of groundwater.
- Much of the remaining lakeshore is of steep slope (>40\%), very large cobble along the lakeside trail, and fine sediment (at tail of Eklutna Lake)



## II Lake Sockeye Rearing Habitat

- 2021 and 2022 primary productivity study showed very low primary production in Eklutna Lake which is an indicator of poor fish production potential for the water body.
- Turbidity and associated limitation in light penetration is linked to low productivity. Turbidity in Eklutna Lake may have similar on Eklutna Lake as Skilak where ADFG has documented not only trends toward increasing turbidity with climate driven glacial melt, but associated decreases in sockeye salmon numbers.
- The 2017 Eklutna Lake Marine derived nutrients study indicated that historical runs likely did not exceed 10,000 salmon.
- "We found that a salmon run of up to $1000 /$ year, and potentially as many as $15000 /$ year, would be possible without noticeably altering the measured isotopic composition of the sediments in Eklutna Lake. Our results provide no evidence that such runs occurred, but do not preclude the possible existence of a relatively small sockeye fishery in Eklutna Lake before 1929"
- Kokanee in Eklutna Lake corroborate the conclusions of the primary productivity and turbidity studies that food availability is low resulting in undersized and lowfecundity fish.
- Eklutna Lake, in the condition under which it was studied in 2021 and 2022, is not supporting a healthy population of resident kokanee and is likely equally insufficient to support ocean-run fish at this time.


Alternatives Analysis Results

## II Stakeholder Consultation

- Received ~36 total alternatives from the following entities:
- Native Village of Eklutna
- Alaska Department of Fish and Game (ADFG)
- Chugach State Park (ADNR)
- National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS)
- U.S. Fish \& Wildlife Service (USFWS)
- Trout Unlimited
- The Conservation Fund
- Hydro Project Owners


## II| Updates from May Meeting

## Ratepayer Impacts:

Matanuska Electric:
1.12\% Energy Rate Increase / $\$ 1 \mathrm{M}$

Chugach Electric:
0.3\% Energy Rate Increase /\$1M - (Previously 1\%/\$1M)

Municipality of Anchorage:
. 03 mils / \$1M
(\$3 Increased Property Tax per \$/100k Property Value)

## CAPEX TIER

- Times Interest Earned Ratio - 1.75x
- Multiplied on interest associated with Capex over life of project
- Part of ratepayer basis for utilities (not MOA)

Native Village of Eklutna

## II| Native Village of Eklutna

## Proposed PME Measures:

Flow Release Measure

- Replacement Dam w/ Fixed Wheel Gate \& Ladder (Measure P)

Upstream Passage

- Naturelike Entrance w/ Variable Exit Ladder

Downstream Passage

- Spill April / May / June

Other Improvements

- AWWU Bridge Construction
- Physical Habitat Improvements
- Full Lakeside Trail Improvements


## II |Native Village of Eklutna - Flow Releases

| Eklutna Water Volume (Acre-Ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Inflows | Powerhouse <br> Water Usage | AWWU Water <br> Usage | Instream Flow <br> Habitat Usage | Peak Water <br> Releases <br> (Gated) | Hydropower | Public Water <br> Supply | Instream Flow |
| Baseline | 262,456 | 238,444 | 24,670 | 0 | 0 | $\mathbf{9 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{0 \%}$ |
| NVE Alt | 262,456 | 95,501 | 24,670 | 139,616 | 2,287 | $\mathbf{3 7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 4 \%}$ |



Channel Maintenance Flow = 700 cfs -72 Hr - Annually

## II| Native Village of Eklutna - Flow Releases



| Month | Flow Release <br> (cfs) | Average <br> Monthly <br> Inflow | Percent of <br> Inflow |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jan | 65 | 69 | $\mathbf{9 5 \%}$ |
| Feb | 65 | 53 | $\mathbf{1 2 2 \%}$ |
| Mar | 65 | 43 | $\mathbf{1 5 0 \%}$ |
| Apr | 300 | 58 | $\mathbf{5 1 9 \%}$ |
| May | 300 | 201 | $\mathbf{1 4 9 \%}$ |
| Jun | 300 | 752 | $\mathbf{4 0 \%}$ |
| Jul | 350 | 1,077 | $\mathbf{3 2 \%}$ |
| Aug | 350 | 941 | $\mathbf{3 7 \%}$ |
| Sep | 150 | 638 | $\mathbf{2 4 \%}$ |
| Oct | 150 | 284 | $\mathbf{5 3 \%}$ |
| Nov | 108 | 118 | $\mathbf{9 1 \%}$ |
| Dec | 65 | 90 | $\mathbf{7 2 \%}$ |

## II| Native Village of Eklutna - Cost Summary

| CAPEX (\$M) |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Replacement Dam | \$113.3 |
| Fish Exclusion Barrier | \$2.1 |
| Physical Habitat Improvements | \$1.5 |
| Lakeside Trail Improvements | \$3.0 |
| AWWU Bridges | \$2.9 |
| Total | \$122.9 |
| O\&M (\$/Yr) |  |
| Replacement Dam | \$299,000 |
| Fish Exclusion Barrier | \$37,700 |
| Total (\$/Yr) | \$336,700 |
| Replacement Energy (\$/Yr) |  |
| Replacement Energy (MWh) | 99,341 |
| Energy Cost (\$/kWh) | \$73 |
| Total (\$/Yr) | \$7,265,000 |
| Annualized Costs (\$/Yr) |  |
| CAPEX TIER | \$11,352,000 |
| CAPEX | \$7,503,000 |
| O\&M | \$592,000 |
| Replacement Energy | \$8,693,000 |
| Total | \$20,637,000 |
| Present Worth (\$) |  |
| Present Value | \$338,000,000 |


| Estimated Ratepayer/Taxpayer Impacts |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Chugach Electric Association | $\mathbf{4 . 0 \%}$ |
| Matanuska Electric Association | $\mathbf{6 . 3 \%}$ |
| Municipality of Anchorage (\$/100k) | $\$ 4.62$ / 0.046 mils |



Carbon Emissions: 43,000 MTCO2eq

## II| Native Village of Eklutna - Habitat Summary

NVE Regime - Spawning Habitat
■ Baseline ■ Eklutna River ■ Eklutna Lake

NVE Regime - Rearing Habitat
■ Baseline Eklutna River ■Eklutna Lake


Alaska Department of Fish \& Game

## II ADFG

## Proposed PME Measures:

Flow Release Measure

- Replacement Dam w/ Fixed Wheel Gate \& Ladder (Measure P);
- AWWU Portal Release (Measure C);

Other Improvements

- AWWU Bridge Construction
- Physical Habitat Improvements
- Partial Lakeside Trail Improvements

Upstream Passage

- Naturelike Entrance w/ Variable Exit Ladder (Measure P)
- None (Measure C)

Downstream Passage

- Spill in May (Measure P)
- None (Measure C)

Eklutna Water Volume (Acre-Ft)

## II ADFG

Channel Maintenance Flow = $325 / 400 / 450$ cfs - 72 Hr 3 of 10 years

|  |  | Eklutna Water Volume (Acre-Ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Inflows | Powerhouse <br> Water Usage | AWWU Water <br> Usage | Instream Flow <br> Habitat Usage | Peak Water <br> Releases <br> (Gated) | Hydropower | Public Water <br> Supply | Instream Flow |  |
| Baseline | 262,456 | 238,444 | 24,670 | 0 | 0 | $\mathbf{9 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{0 \%}$ |
| Flow Level 2 | 262,456 | 207,663 | 24,670 | 30,420 | 350 | $\mathbf{7 9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 \%}$ |
| Flow Level 3 | 262,456 | 201,071 | 24,670 | 37,194 | 427 | $\mathbf{7 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 \%}$ |
| Flow Level 4 | 262,456 | 194,653 | 24,670 | 43,612 | 481 | $\mathbf{7 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 \%}$ |
| FL 2 w/ Spill | 262,456 | 190,645 | 24,670 | 46,473 | 536 | $\mathbf{7 3 \%}$ | $\mathbf{9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 \%}$ |
| FL3 w/ Spill | 262,456 | 184,551 | 24,670 | 52,478 | 593 | $\mathbf{7 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 \%}$ |
| FL 4 w/ Spill | 262,456 | 178,630 | 24,670 | 58,336 | 654 | $\mathbf{6 8 \%}$ | $\mathbf{9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 \%}$ |


$\qquad$
$\qquad$

## II ADFG - Flow Releases



| Month | Flow Release <br> (cfs) | Average <br> Monthly <br> Inflow | Percent of <br> Inflow |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jan | $31-39$ | 69 | $\mathbf{4 5 \% - 5 7 \%}$ |
| Feb | $31-39$ | 53 | $\mathbf{5 8 \%}=\mathbf{7 4 \%}$ |
| Mar | $31-39$ | 43 | $\mathbf{7 2 \% - 9 1 \%}$ |
| Apr | $31-39$ | 58 | $\mathbf{5 3 \% - 6 7 \%}$ |
| May* | $41-59$ | 201 | $\mathbf{2 0 \% - 2 9 \%}$ |
| Jun | $50-80$ | 752 | $\mathbf{7 \% - 1 1 \%}$ |
| Jul | $60-100$ | 1,077 | $\mathbf{6 \% - 9 \%}$ |
| Aug | $60-100$ | 941 | $\mathbf{6 \% - 1 1 \%}$ |
| Sep | $48-65$ | 638 | $\mathbf{8 \% - 1 0 \%}$ |
| Oct | $48-65$ | 284 | $\mathbf{1 7 \% - 2 3 \%}$ |
| Nov | $39-52$ | 118 | $\mathbf{3 3 \% - 4 4 \%}$ |
| Dec | $31-39$ | 90 | $\mathbf{3 4 \% - 4 3 \%}$ |

*May - 300 cfs (149\% Inflow)

## III ADFG - Replacement Dam Summary



| Estimated Ratepayer/Taxpayer Impacts |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FL 2 w/ Spill | FL 3 w/ Spill | FL 4 w/ Spill |
| Chugach Electric Association | $\mathbf{2 . 8 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 9 \%}$ |
| Matanuska Electric Association | $\mathbf{3 . 9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 2 \%}$ |
| Municipality of Anchorage ( $\$ / 100 \mathrm{k})$ | $\$ 4.53 / 0.045$ mils | $\$ 4.53 / 0.045$ mils | $\$ 4.53 / 0.045$ mils |



Carbon Emissions: 14,000-17,500 MTCO2eq

## III ADFG - AWWU Portal Summary

| CAPEX (\$M) |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| AWWU Portal | $\$ 5.5$ |
| Fixed Wheel Gate | $\$ 6.6$ |
| Physical Habitat Improvements | $\$ 1.5$ |
| Partial Lakeside Trail Improve. | $\$ 0.4$ |
| AWWU Bridges | $\$ 2.9$ |
| Total | $\$ 16.9$ |
| $\mathbf{O \& M}(\$ / \mathbf{Y r})$ |  |
| AWWU Portal | $\$ 188,500$ |
| Fixed Wheel Gate | $\$ 32,500$ |
| Total $(\$ / \mathbf{Y r})$ | $\$ 221,000$ |


| Replacement Energy (\$/Yr) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FL 2 w/ Spill | FL 3 w/ Spill |
| Replacement Energy (MWh) | 19,712 | 23,974 |
| Energy Cost ( $\$ / \mathrm{kWh}$ ) | \$73 | \$73 |
| Total (\$/Yr) | \$1,442,000 | \$1,753,000 |
| Annualized Costs (\$/Yr) |  |  |
|  | FL 2 | FL3 |
| CAPEX TIER | \$1,562,000 | \$1,562,000 |
| CAPEX | \$1,032,000 | \$1,032,000 |
| O\&M | \$388,000 | \$388,000 |
| Replacement Energy | \$1,725,000 | \$2,098,000 |
| Total | \$3,675,000 | \$4,048,000 |
| Present Worth (\$) |  |  |
|  | FL 2 | FL 3 |
| Present Value | \$60,000,000 | \$66,000,000 |


| Estimated Ratepayer/Taxpayer Impacts |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | FL 2 | FL 3 |
| Chugach Electric Association | $0.7 \%$ | $0.8 \%$ |
| Matanuska Electric Association | $1.4 \%$ | $1.6 \%$ |
| Munic. of Anchorage $(\$ / 100 \mathrm{k})$ | $\$ 0.81 / 0.008$ mils | $\$ 0.81 / 0.008$ mils |



Carbon Emissions: 8,500-12,000 MTCO2eq

## III ADFG- Habitat Summary

ADFG Regime - Spawning Habitat
ADFG Regime - Rearing Habitat
■ Baseline ■Eklutna River ■Eklutna Lake
■ Baseline ■Eklutna River ■ Eklutna Lake


## ADNR - State Parks

## II ADNR - State Parks

Proposed PME Measures:
Flow Release Measure

- AWWU Portal (Measure C)

Upstream Passage

- None

Downstream Passage

- None

Other Improvements

- AWWU Bridge Construction
- Partial Lakeside Trail Improvements


## IIIADNR

Eklutna Water Volume (Acre-Ft)

|  |  | Eklutna Water Volume (Acre-Ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Inflows | Powerhouse <br> Water Usage | AWWU Water <br> Usage | Instream Flow <br> Habitat Usage | Peak Water <br> Releases <br> (Gated) | Hydropower | Public Water <br> Supply | Instream Flow |
| Baseline | 262,456 | 238,444 | 24,670 | 0 | 0 | $\mathbf{9 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{0 \%}$ |
| Flow Level <br> $\mathbf{1}$ | 262,456 | 212,804 | 24,670 | 25,023 | 218 | $\mathbf{8 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 \%}$ |
| Flow Level <br> $\mathbf{2}$ | 262,456 | 206,380 | 24,670 | 31,303 | 354 | $\mathbf{7 9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 \%}$ |
| Flow Level <br> $\mathbf{3}$ | 262,456 | 199,539 | 24,670 | 38,055 | 436 | $\mathbf{7 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 \%}$ |



Channel Maintenance Flow = 200/325/400 cfs - $72 \mathrm{Hr}-3$ Years

## II ADNR - Flow Releases



| Month | Flow Release (cfs) | Average Monthly Inflow | Percent of Inflow |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jan | 27-35 | 69 | 39\% - 51\% |
| Feb | 27-35 | 53 | 51\% - 66\% |
| Mar | 27-35 | 43 | 63\% - 81\% |
| Apr | 27-35 | 58 | 47\% - 60\% |
| May | 34-50 | 201 | 17\% - 25\% |
| Jun | 40-65 | 752 | 5\%-9\% |
| Jul | 40-80 | 1,077 | 4\% - 7\% |
| Aug | 40-80 | 941 | 4\% - 9\% |
| Sep | 40-57 | 638 | 6\% - 9\% |
| Oct | 40-57 | 284 | 14\% - 20\% |
| Nov | 35-46 | 118 | 30\% - 39\% |
| Dec | 27-35 | 90 | 30\% - 39\% |

## IIIADNR - Summary

| CAPEX (\$M) |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| AWWU Portal | $\$ 5.5$ |
| Fixed Wheel Gate* | $\$ 6.6$ |
| Partial Lakeside Trail Improve. | $\$ 0.4$ |
| AWWU Bridges | $\$ 2.9$ |
| Total | $\$ 15.4$ |

*Fixed Wheel Gate Excluded from FL1 Alternative

| O\&M (\$/Yr) |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| AWWU Portal | $\$ 188,500$ |
| Fixed Wheel Gate* | $\$ 32,500$ |
| Total (\$/Yr) | $\$ 221,000$ |


| Replacement Energy (\$/Yr) |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FL 1 | FL 2 | FL 3 |
| Replacement Energy (MWh) | 15,723 | 19,712 | 23,974 |
| Energy Cost $(\$ / \mathrm{kWh})$ | $\$ 73$ | $\$ 73$ | $\$ 73$ |
| Total $(\mathbf{\$} / \mathbf{Y r})$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 1 5 0 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 4 4 2 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 7 5 3 , 0 0 0}$ |


| Annualized Costs (\$/Yr) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FL 1 | FL 2 | FL 3 |
| CAPEX TIER | \$819,000 | \$1,426,000 | \$1,426,000 |
| CAPEX | \$541,000 | \$943,000 | \$943,000 |
| O\&M | \$331,000 | \$388,000 | \$388,000 |
| Replacement Energy | \$1,376,000 | \$1,725,000 | \$2,098,000 |
| Total | \$2,526,000 | \$3,539,000 | \$3,912,000 |
| Present Worth (\$) |  |  |  |
|  | FL 1 | FL 2 | FL 3 |
| Present Value | \$41,000,000 | \$58,000,000 | \$64,000,000 |


| Estimated Ratepayer/Taxpayer Impacts |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FL 1 | FL 2 | FL 3 |
| Chugach Electric Association | $0.5 \%$ | $0.7 \%$ | $0.8 \%$ |
| Matanuska Electric Association | $1.1 \%$ | $1.4 \%$ | $1.5 \%$ |
| Munic. of Anchorage ( $\$ / 100 \mathrm{k})$ | $\$ 0.50 / 0.005$ mils | $\$ 0.76 / 0.007$ mils | $\$ 0.76 / 0.007$ mils |

Carbon Emissions: 7,000-10,000 MTCO2eq

## IIIADNR - Habitat Summary

ADNR Regime - Spawning Habitat
■ Baseline ■Eklutna River ■Eklutna Lake


ADNR Regime - Rearing Habitat
■ Baseline ■Eklutna River ■ Eklutna Lake


National Marine Fisheries Service

## II NMFS

## Proposed PME Measures:

Flow Release Measure

- Replacement Dam w/ Fixed Wheel Gate \& Ladder (Measure P)
- Existing Dam Release w/ Fixed Wheel Gate - No Fish Passage (Measure A)*

Upstream Passage

- Naturelike Entrance w/ Variable Exit Ladder (Measure P)
- None (Measure A)

Downstream Passage

- Floating Surface Collector (Measure P)
- None (Measure A)

Other Improvements

- AWWU Bridge Construction
- Partial Lakeside Trail Improvements
- Physical Habitat Improvements


## II NMFS

Eklutna Water Volume (Acre-Ft)

| Eklutna Water Volume (Acre-Ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Inflows | Powerhouse <br> Water Usage | AWWU Water <br> Usage | Instream Flow <br> Habitat Usage | Peak Water <br> Releases <br> (Gated) | Hydropower | Public Water <br> Supply | Instream Flow |
| Baseline | 262,456 | 238,444 | 24,670 | 0 | 0 | $\mathbf{9 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{0 \%}$ |
| FL 5 <br> Modified | 262,456 | 183,064 | 24,670 | 54,084 | 545 | $\mathbf{7 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 \%}$ |
| FL 6 | 262,456 | 177,836 | 24,670 | 59,258 | 599 | $\mathbf{6 8 \%}$ | $\mathbf{9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 \%}$ |
| Modified |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



Channel Maintenance Flow = 500/550/600 cfs - $72 \mathrm{Hr}-3$ Years

## II NMFS - Flow Releases



| Month | Flow Release <br> (cfs) | Average <br> Monthly <br> Inflow | Percent of <br> Inflow |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jan | 50 | 69 | $\mathbf{7 2 \%}$ |
| Feb | 50 | 53 | $\mathbf{9 4 \%}$ |
| Mar | 50 | 43 | $\mathbf{1 1 6 \%}$ |
| Apr | 50 | 58 | $\mathbf{8 6 \%}$ |
| May | $73-87$ | 201 | $\mathbf{3 6 \% - 4 3 \%}$ |
| Jun | $97-123$ | 752 | $\mathbf{1 3 \% - 1 6 \%}$ |
| Jul | $120-160$ | 1,077 | $\mathbf{1 1 \% - 1 5 \%}$ |
| Aug | $120-160$ | 941 | $\mathbf{1 3 \% - \mathbf { 1 7 \% }}$ |
| Sep | $73-90$ | 638 | $\mathbf{1 1 \% - \mathbf { 1 4 \% }}$ |
| Oct | $73-90$ | 284 | $\mathbf{2 6 \% - \mathbf { 3 1 \% }}$ |
| Nov | $62-70$ | 118 | $\mathbf{5 3 \% - \mathbf { 6 0 \% }}$ |
| Dec | 50 | 90 | $\mathbf{5 6 \%}$ |

## III NMFS - Replacement Dam Summary



| Estimated Ratepayer/Taxpayer Impacts |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FL5 Modified | FL 6 Modified | FL 7 |
| Chugach Electric Association | $4.5 \%$ | $4.6 \%$ | $4.7 \%$ |
| Matanuska Electric Association | $8.3 \%$ | $8.4 \%$ | $8.5 \%$ |
| Munic. of Anchorage $(\$ / 100 \mathrm{k})$ | $\$ 8.05 / 0.081$ mils | $\$ 8.05 / 0.081$ mils | $\$ 8.05 / 0.081$ mils |



Carbon Emissions: 16,000-19,000 MTCO2eq

## III NMFS - Dam Release Summary



| Estimated Ratepayer/Taxpayer Impacts |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FL5 Modified | FL 6 Modified | FL 7 |
| Chugach Electric Association | $1.1 \%$ | $1.2 \%$ | $1.2 \%$ |
| Matanuska Electric Association | $2.6 \%$ | $2.7 \%$ | $2.8 \%$ |
| Munic. of Anchorage $(\$ / 100 \mathrm{k})$ | $\$ 1.13 / 0.011$ mils | $\$ 1.13 / 0.011$ mils | $\$ 1.13 / 0.011$ mils |



Carbon Emissions: 19,000-22,000 MTCO2eq

## II NMFS - Habitat Summary

NMFS Regime - Spawning Habitat
■ Baseline ■ Eklutna River ■ Eklutna Lake


NMFS Regime - Rearing Habitat
■ Baseline ■Eklutna River ■ Eklutna Lake


## U.S. Fish \& Wildlife Service

## II USFWS

## Proposed PME Measures:

## Flow Release Measure

- Replacement Dam w/ Fixed Wheel Gate \& Ladder (Measure P)
- Existing Dam with Fixed Wheel Gate and Variable Fish Ladder (Measure K)

Upstream Passage

- Naturelike Entrance w/ Variable Exit Ladder (Measure P)
- Variable Exit Fishway (Measure K)

Downstream Passage

- Floating Surface Collector
- Spill (April/May/June)
- Spill w/ Attractant Pumps (April/May/June) *

Other Improvements

- AWWU Bridge Construction
- Partial Lakeside Trail Improvements
- Physical Habitat Improvements

|  | Eklutna Water Volume (Acre-Ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\cap$ TTNTMNTS |  | Inflows | Powerhouse Water Usage | AWWU Water Usage | Instream Flow Habitat Usage | Peak Water Releases (Gated) | Powerhouse | AWWU | Instream Flow |
|  | Baseline | 262,456 | 238,444 | 24,670 | 0 | 0 | 91\% | 9\% | 0\% |
|  | FL7-FSC | 262,456 | 171,191 | 24,670 | 64,281 | 1,961 | 66\% | 9\% | 25\% |
|  | FL7-Spill | 262,456 | 128,448 | 24,670 | 107,025 | 1,961 | 49\% | 9\% | 41\% |
|  | Alt 1 - FSC | 262,456 | 153,370 | 24,670 | 82,053 | 1,961 | 59\% | 9\% | 32\% |
|  | Alt 1 - Spill | 262,456 | 113,651 | 24,670 | 121,772 | 1,961 | 44\% | 9\% | 47\% |
|  | Alt 2 - Spill | 262,456 | 114,087 | 24,670 | 121,554 | 1,743 | 44\% | 9\% | 47\% |



Channel Maintenance Flow:
FL7 / Alt 1: 600 cfs - $72 \mathrm{Hr}-$ Annually
Alt 2: $\quad 700 \mathrm{cfs} / 72 \mathrm{hr} \mathrm{Y1} / 2+400 \mathrm{cfs} / 72 \mathrm{Hr} \mathrm{Y3} / 4 / 5,600 \mathrm{cfs} / 72 \mathrm{Hr} \mathrm{Y6}$ - Repeat 3/4/5/6

## II USFWS - Flow Releases



Floating Surface Collector Alternatives

| Month | Flow Release <br> (cfs) | Average <br> Monthly <br> Inflow | Percent of <br> Inflow |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jan | $50-75$ | 69 | $\mathbf{7 2 \% - 1 0 8 \%}$ |
| Feb | $50-75$ | 53 | $\mathbf{9 4 \% - 1 4 2 \%}$ |
| Mar | $50-75$ | 43 | $\mathbf{1 1 6 \% - \mathbf { 1 7 4 \% }}$ |
| Apr | $50-75$ | 58 | $\mathbf{8 6 \% - 1 2 9 \%}$ |
| May | $75-87$ | 201 | $\mathbf{3 7 \% - 4 3 \%}$ |
| Jun | $123-160$ | 752 | $\mathbf{1 6 \% - 2 1 \%}$ |
| Jul | 160 | 1,077 | $\mathbf{1 5 \%}$ |
| Aug | 160 | 941 | $\mathbf{1 7 \%}$ |
| Sep | $90-160$ | 638 | $\mathbf{1 4 \% - 2 5 \%}$ |
| Oct | $90-160$ | 284 | $\mathbf{3 2 \% - 5 6 \%}$ |
| Nov | $70-75$ | 118 | $\mathbf{5 9 \% - 6 4 \%}$ |
| Dec | $50-75$ | 90 | $\mathbf{5 6 \% - 8 3 \%}$ |


| Spill Alternatives |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Month | Flow Release <br> (cfs) | Average <br> Monthly <br> Inflow | Percent of <br> Inflow |
| Jan | $50-75$ | 69 | $\mathbf{7 2 \% - 1 0 8 \%}$ |
| Feb | $50-75$ | 53 | $\mathbf{9 4 \% - \mathbf { 1 4 2 \% }}$ |
| Mar | $50-75$ | 43 | $\mathbf{1 1 6 \% - \mathbf { 1 7 4 \% }}$ |
| Apr | 300 | 58 | $\mathbf{5 1 7 \%}$ |
| May | 300 | 201 | $\mathbf{1 4 9 \%}$ |
| Jun | 300 | 752 | $\mathbf{4 0 \%}$ |
| Jul | 160 | 1,077 | $\mathbf{1 5 \%}$ |
| Aug | 160 | 941 | $\mathbf{1 7 \%}$ |
| Sep | $90-160$ | 638 | $\mathbf{1 4 \% - \mathbf { 2 5 \% }}$ |
| Oct | $90-160$ | 284 | $\mathbf{3 2 \% - 5 6 \%}$ |
| Nov | $70-75$ | 118 | $\mathbf{5 9 \% - 6 4 \%}$ |
| Dec | $50-75$ | 90 | $\mathbf{5 6 \% - 8 3 \%}$ |

## III USFWS - <br> Replacement Dam Summary

| CAPEX (\$M) |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Replacement Dam | $\$ 113.3$ |
| Fish Exclusion Barrier | $\$ 2.1$ |
| Physical Habitat Improvements | $\$ 1.5$ |
| Partial Lakeside Trail Improve. | $\$ 0.4$ |
| AWWU Bridges | $\$ 2.9$ |
| w/ Attraction Pumps at Dam | $\$ 38.4$ |
| Floating Surface Collector | $\$ 57.6$ |
| Total w/ Spill for Passage | $\$ 120.3$ |
| Total w/ Attraction Pumps | $\$ 158.7$ |
| Total w/ FSC | $\$ 177.8$ |
| $\mathbf{Z Y}$ ( |  |
| Replacement Dam | $\$ 299,000$ |
| Fish Exclusion Barrier | $\$ 37,700$ |
| Attraction Pumps at Dam | $\$ 1,326,000$ |
| Floating Surface Collector | $\$ 1,500,200$ |
| Total w/ Spill for Passage | $\$ 336,700$ |
| Total w/ Attraction Pumps | $\$ 1,662,700$ |
| Total w/ FSC | $\$ 1,836,900$ |

Carbon Emissions:
19,000-37,000 MTCO2eq

| Replacement Energy (\$/Yr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FL 7 FSC A | Alt 1 FSC | FL 7 Spill | Alt 1 Spill | Alt 2 Spill | FL7 w/ <br> Pumps | Alt 1 w/ Pumps | Alt 2 w/ Pumps |
| Replacement Energy (MWh) | 44,660 | 58,193 | 75,059 | 86,313 | 57,933 | 52,594 | 58,193 | 57,933 |
| Energy Cost (\$/kWh) | \$73 | \$73 | \$73 | \$73 | \$73 | \$73 | \$73 | \$73 |
| Total (\$/Yr) | \$3,266,000 | \$4,256,000 \$ | \$5,514,000 | \$6,341,000 \$ | \$4,256,000 | \$3,864,000 | \$4,275,000 | \$4,256,000 |
| Annualized Costs (\$/Yr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | FL 7 FSC | Alt 1 FSC | FL 7 Spill | Alt 1 Spill | Alt 2 Spill | FL7 w/ Pumps | Alt 1 w/ Pumps | Alt 2 w/ Pumps |
| CAPEX TIER | \$16,433,000 | \$16,433,000 | 0 \$11,114,000 | \$11,114,000 | \$11,114,000 | \$14,666,000 | \$14,666,000 | \$14,666,000 |
| CAPEX | \$10,861,000 | \$10,861,000 | \$7,345,000 | \$7,345,000 | \$7,345,000 | \$9,693,000 | \$9,693,000 | \$9,693,000 |
| O\&M | \$3,229,000 | \$3,229,000 | \$592,000 | \$592,000 | \$592,000 | \$2,922,000 | \$2,922,000 | \$2,922,000 |
| Replacement Energy | \$3,908,000 | \$5,093,000 | \$6,598,000 | \$7,588,000 | \$7,577,000 | \$4,624,000 | \$5,116,000 | \$5,093,000 |
| Total | \$23,570,000 | \$24,755,000 | \$18,304,000 | \$19,294,000 | \$19,283,000 | \$22,212,000 | \$22,704,000 | \$22,681,000 |
| Present Worth (\$) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | FL 7 FSC | Alt 1 FSC | FL 7 Spill | Alt 1 Spill | Alt 2 Spill | FL7 w/ Pumps | Alt 1 w/ Pumps | Alt 2 w/ Pumps |
| Present Value | \$386,000,000 | 0 \$405,000,000 | 0 \$300,000,000 | 0 \$316,000,000 | \$316,000,000 | \$364,000,000 | \$372,000,000 | \$371,000,000 |
| Estimated Ratepayer/Taxpayer Impacts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | FL 7 FSC | Alt 1 FSC | FL 7 Spill | Alt 1 Spill | Alt 2 Spill | FL7 w/ Pumps | Alt 1 w/ Pumps | Alt $2 \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{Pumps}$ |
| Chugach Electric Association | 4.5\% | 4.8\% | 3.5\% | 3.7\% | 3.7\% | 4.3\% | 4.4\% | 4.4\% |
| Matanuska Electric Association | 8.2\% | 8.7\% | 5.4\% | 5.8\% | 5.8\% | 7.9\% | 8.1\% | 8.0\% |
| Munic. of Anchorage (\$/100k) | \$8.05 | \$8.05 | \$4.53 | \$4.53 | \$4.53 | \$7.21 | \$7.21 | \$7.21 |
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## II USFWS Variable Exit Fish Ladder Summary

| CAPEX (\$M) |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Variable Exit Fishway | $\$ 17.6$ |  |  |
| Fixed Wheel Gate | $\$ 6.6$ |  |  |
| Physical Habitat Improvements | $\$ 1.5$ |  |  |
| Partial Lakeside Trail Improve. | $\$ 0.4$ |  |  |
| AWWU Bridges | $\$ 2.9$ |  |  |
| Fish Exclusion Barrier | $\$ 2.1$ |  |  |
| Floating Surface Collector | $\$ 57.6$ |  |  |
| Total w/ Spill for Passage | $\$ 31.1$ |  |  |
| Total w/ FSC | $\$ 88.6$ |  |  |
| O\&M (\$/Yr) |  |  |  |
| Variable Exit Fishway | $\$ 555,100$ |  |  |
| Fixed Wheel Gate | $\$ 32,500$ |  |  |
| Fish Exclusion Barrier | $\$ 37,700$ |  |  |
| Floating Surface Collector | $\$ 1,500,200$ |  |  |
| Total w/ Spill for Passage | $\$ 625,300$ |  |  |
| Total w/ FSC | $\mathbf{\$ 2 , 1 2 5 , 5 0 0}$ |  |  |

Carbon Emissions:
23,000 - 39,000 MTCO2eq

| Replacement Energy (\$/Yr) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FL 7 FSC | Alt 1 FSC | FL 7 Spill | Alt 1 Spill | Alt 2 Spill |
| Replacement Energy (MWh) | 52,594 | 62,802 | 81,044 | 89,786 | 89,660 |
| Energy Cost (\$/kWh) | \$73 | \$73 | \$73 | \$73 | \$73 |
| Total (\$/Yr) | \$3,266,000 | \$4,614,000 | \$5,954,000 | \$6,596,000 | \$6,587,000 |
| Annualized Costs (\$/Yr) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | FL 7 FSC | Alt 1 FSC | FL 7 Spill | Alt 1 Spill | Alt 2 Spill |
| CAPEX TIER | \$8,190,000 | \$8,190,000 | \$2,871,000 | \$2,871,000 | \$2,871,000 |
| CAPEX | \$5,413,000 | \$5,413,000 | \$1,898,000 | \$1,898,000 | \$1,898,000 |
| O\&M | \$3,736,000 | \$3,736,000 | \$1,099,000 | \$1,099,000 | \$1,099,000 |
| Replacement Energy | \$4,624,000 | \$5,521,000 | \$7,125,000 | \$7,893,000 | \$7,882,000 |
| Total | \$16,550,000 | \$17,447,000 | \$11,095,000 | \$11,863,000 | \$11,852,000 |
| Present Worth (\$) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | FL 7 FSC | Alt 1 FSC | FL 7 Spill | Alt 1 Spill | Alt 2 Spill |
| Present Value | \$271,000,000 | \$286,000,000 | \$182,000,000 | \$194,000,000 | \$194,000,000 |
| Estimated Ratepayer/Taxpayer Impacts |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | FL 7 FSC | Alt 1 FSC | FL 7 Spill | Alt 1 Spill | Alt 2 Spill |
| Chugach Electric Assoc. | 3.2\% | 3.4\% | 2.1\% | 2.3\% | 2.3\% |
| Matanuska Electric Assoc. | 7.6\% | 7.9\% | 4.6\% | 4.9\% | 4.9\% |
| Munic. of Anchorage (\$/100k) | \$5.23 | \$5.23 | \$1.71 | \$1.71 | \$1.71 |

■CAPEX TIER ■ Replacement Energy (\$/Yr) ■O\&M


## III USFWS - Habitat Summary Replacement Dam

USFWS Regimes - Spawning Habitat
■ Baseline ■Eklutna River ■Eklutna Lake



## III USFWS - Habitat Summary Variable Exit Fish Ladder

USFWS Regimes - Spawning Habitat
■ Baseline ■Eklutna River ■Eklutna Lake


USFWS Regimes - Rearing Habitat
■ Baseline ■ Eklutna River ■ Eklutna Lake


Trout Unlimited

## III Trout Unlimited

## Proposed PME Measures:

Flow Release Measure

- Existing Dam with Fixed Wheel Gate and Variable Fish Ladder (Measure K)*

Upstream Passage

- Variable Exit Fishway (Measure K)


## Other Improvements

- AWWU Bridge Construction
- Partial Lakeside Trail Improvements
- Physical Habitat Improvements


## Downstream Passage

- Spill (April/May/June)


## Channel Maintenance Flows

- 800 cfs Y1 Flushing Flow w/ No Maintenance Flow
- 800 cfs Y1 Flushing Flow w/ 300 cfs Maintenance Flow 3 out of every 10 years
- 800 cfs Y1 Flushing Flow w/ 400 cfs Maintenance Flow 3 out of every 10 years
- 800 cfs Y1 Flushing Flow w/ 525 cfs Maintenance Flow 3 out of every 10 years
- 800 cfs Y1 Flushing Flow w/ 700 cfs Maintenance Flow 3 out of every 10 years
- 700 cfs Y1 Flushing Flow w/ No Maintenance Flow
- 700 cfs Y1 Flushing Flow w/ 240 cfs Maintenance Flow 3 out of every 10 years
- 700 cfs Y1 Flushing Flow w/ 320 cfs Maintenance Flow 3 out of every 10 years


## I] Trout <br> Unlimited

| Eklutna Water Volume (Acre-Ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Inflows | Powerhouse <br> Water Usage | AWWU Water <br> Usage | Instream Flow <br> Habitat Usage | Peak Water <br> Releases <br> (Gated) | Hydropower | Public Water <br> Supply | Instream Flow |
| Baseline | 262,456 | 238,444 | 24,670 | 0 | 0 | $\mathbf{9 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{0 \%}$ |
| TU FL7 | 262,456 | 135,522 | 24,670 | 101,387 | $0-349$ | $\mathbf{5 2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 9 \%}$ |
| TU Alt 1 | 262,456 | 113,869 | 24,670 | 121,522 | $0-436$ | $\mathbf{4 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 7 \%}$ |
| TU Alt 2 | 262,456 | 82,803 | 24,670 | 153,450 | $0-762$ | $\mathbf{3 2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 9 \%}$ |



## IIITU - Flow Releases



| Spill Alternatives |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Month | Flow Release <br> (cfs) | Average <br> Monthly <br> Inflow | Percent of <br> Inflow |
| Jan | $50-65$ | 69 | $\mathbf{7 2 \% - 9 4 \%}$ |
| Feb | $50-65$ | 53 | $\mathbf{9 4 \% - 1 2 3 \%}$ |
| Mar | $50-65$ | 43 | $\mathbf{1 1 6 \% - 1 5 1 \%}$ |
| Apr | 300 | 58 | $\mathbf{5 1 7 \%}$ |
| May | 300 | 201 | $\mathbf{1 4 9 \%}$ |
| Jun | $300-350$ | 752 | $\mathbf{4 0 \% - 4 7 \%}$ |
| Jul | $160-350$ | 1,077 | $\mathbf{1 5 \% - 3 2 \%}$ |
| Aug | $160-350$ | 941 | $\mathbf{1 7 \% - 3 7 \%}$ |
| Sep | $90-350$ | 638 | $\mathbf{1 4 \% - 5 5 \%}$ |
| Oct | $90-208$ | 284 | $\mathbf{3 2 \% - 7 3 \%}$ |
| Nov | $61-70$ | 118 | $\mathbf{5 2 \% - 5 9 \%}$ |
| Dec | $50-65$ | 90 | $\mathbf{5 6 \% - 7 2 \%}$ |

## II|TU - Variable Exit Ladder Summary

| CAPEX (\$M) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Variable Exit Fishway |  | \$17.6 |  |
| Fixed Wheel Gate |  | \$6.6 |  |
| Physical Habitat Improvements |  | \$1.5 |  |
| Partial Lakeside Trail Improve. |  | \$0.4 |  |
| AWWU Bridges |  | \$2.9 |  |
| Fish Exclusion Barrier |  | \$2.1 |  |
| Total |  | \$31.1 |  |
| O\&M (\$/Yr) |  |  |  |
| Variable Exit Fishway |  | \$555,100 |  |
| Fixed Wheel Gate |  | \$32,500 |  |
| Fish Exclusion Barrier |  | \$37,700 |  |
| Total (\$/Yr) |  | \$625,300 |  |
| Replacement Energy (\$/Yr) |  |  |  |
|  | FL 7 w/ Spill | Alt 1 w/ Spill | Alt 2 w/ Spill |
| Replacement Energy (MWh) | 79,887 | 89,723 | 109,231 |
| Energy Cost (\$/kWh) | \$73 | \$73 | \$73 |
| Total (\$/Yr) | \$5,869,154 | \$6,591,796 | \$8,025,011 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| CAPEX TIER | \$2,871,000 | \$2,871,000 | \$2,871,000 |
| CAPEX | \$1,898,000 | \$1,898,000 | \$1,898,000 |
| O\&M | \$1,099,000 | \$1,099,000 | \$1,099,000 |
| Replacement Energy | \$7,022,912 | \$7,887,611 | \$9,602,567 |
| Total | \$10,992,912 | \$11,857,611 | \$13,572,567 |
| Present Worth (\$) |  |  |  |
|  | FL 7 w/ Spill | Alt 1 w/ Spill | Alt 2 w/ Spill |
| Present Value | \$180,000,000 | \$194,000,000 | \$222,000,000 |


| Estimated Ratepayer/Taxpayer Impacts |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FL $7 \mathbf{w} /$ Spill | Alt $1 \mathbf{w} /$ Spill | Alt $2 \mathbf{w} /$ Spill |
| Chugach Electric Association | $2.1 \%$ | $2.3 \%$ | $2.6 \%$ |
| Matanuska Electric Association | $4.6 \%$ | $5.0 \%$ | $5.7 \%$ |
| Munic. of Anchorage $(\$ / 100 \mathrm{k})$ | $\$ 1.71 / 0.017$ mils | $\$ 1.71 / 0.017$ mils | $\$ 1.71 / 0.017$ mils |



Carbon Emissions: 34,000-48,000 MTCO2eq

## II| Trout Unlimited - Habitat Summary

TU Regimes - Spawning Habitat
■ Baseline Eklutna River ■ Eklutna Lake



## Hydro Project Owners CEA/MEA/MOA

## II| Hydro Project Owners

Proposed PME Measures:
Flow Release Measure

- AWWU Portal (Measure C)

Upstream Passage

- None

Downstream Passage

- None

Other Improvements

- AWWU Bridge Construction
- Partial Lakeside Trail Improvements

II CEA MEA MOA

Eklutna Water Volume (Acre-Ft)

|  |  | Eklutna Water Volume (Acre-Ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Inflows | Powerhouse <br> Water Usage | AWWU Water <br> Usage | Instream Flow <br> Habitat Usage | Peak Water <br> Releases <br> (Gated) | Hydropower | Public Water <br> Supply | Instream Flow |  |
| Baseline | 262,456 | 238,444 | 24,670 | 0 | 0 | $\mathbf{9 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{0 \%}$ |
| Flow Level <br> $\mathbf{1}$ | 262,456 | 212,804 | 24,670 | 25,023 | 291 | $\mathbf{8 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 \%}$ |
| Flow Level <br> $\mathbf{2}$ | 262,456 | 206,380 | 24,670 | 31,303 | 350 | $\mathbf{7 9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 \%}$ |
| Flow Level <br> $\mathbf{3}$ | 262,456 | 199,539 | 24,670 | 38,055 | 427 | $\mathbf{7 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 \%}$ |



Channel Maintenance Flow $=200 / 325 / 400$ cfs - $72 \mathrm{Hr}-3$ of 10 Years

## II|CEA/MEA/MOA - Flow Releases



| Month | Flow Release (cfs) | Average Monthly Inflow | Percent of Inflow |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jan | 27-35 | 69 | 39\% - 51\% |
| Feb | 27-35 | 53 | 51\% - 66\% |
| Mar | 27-35 | 43 | 63\% - 81\% |
| Apr | 27-35 | 58 | 47\% - 60\% |
| May | 34-50 | 201 | 17\% - 25\% |
| Jun | 40-65 | 752 | 5\%-9\% |
| Jul | 40-80 | 1,077 | 4\% - 7\% |
| Aug | 40-80 | 941 | 4\% - 9\% |
| Sep | 40-57 | 638 | 6\% - 9\% |
| Oct | 40-57 | 284 | 14\% - 20\% |
| Nov | 35-46 | 118 | 30\% - 39\% |
| Dec | 27-35 | 90 | 30\% - 39\% |

## III CEA/MEA/MOA - Summary

| CAPEX (\$M) |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| AWWU Portal | $\$ 5.5$ |
| Fixed Wheel Gate* | $\$ 6.6$ |
| Partial Lakeside Trail Improve. | $\$ 0.4$ |
| AWWU Bridges | $\$ 2.9$ |
| Total | $\$ 15.4$ |

*Fixed Wheel Gate Excluded from FL1 Alternative

| O\&M (\$/Yr) |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| AWWU Portal | $\$ 188,500$ |
| Fixed Wheel Gate* | $\$ 32,500$ |
| Total (\$/Yr) | $\$ 221,000$ |


| Replacement Energy (\$/Yr) |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FL 1 | FL 2 | FL 3 |
| Replacement Energy (MWh) | 15,723 | 19,712 | 23,974 |
| Energy Cost $(\mathbf{\$} / \mathrm{kWh})$ | $\$ 73$ | $\$ 73$ | $\$ 73$ |
| Total $(\mathbf{\$} / \mathrm{Yr})$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 1 5 0 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 4 4 2 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 7 5 3 , 0 0 0}$ |


| Annualized Costs (\$/Yr) |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FL 1 | FL 2 | FL 3 |
| CAPEX TIER | $\$ 819,000$ | $\$ 1,426,000$ | $\$ 1,426,000$ |
| CAPEX | $\$ 541,000$ | $\$ 943,000$ | $\$ 943,000$ |
| O\&M | $\$ 331,000$ | $\$ 388,000$ | $\$ 388,000$ |
| Replacement Energy | $\$ 1,376,000$ | $\$ 1,725,000$ | $\$ 2,098,000$ |
| Total | $\$ 2,526,000$ | $\$ 3,539,000$ | $\$ 3,912,000$ |
| Present Worth (\$) |  |  |  |
| FL 1 |  |  | FL 2 |
| Present Value | $\$ 41,000,000$ | $\$ 58,000,000$ | $\mathbf{F 6 4}, \mathbf{0 0 0} \mathbf{0 0 0 0}$ |


| Estimated Ratepayer/Taxpayer Impacts |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FL 1 | FL 2 | FL 3 |
| Chugach Electric Association | $0.5 \%$ | $0.7 \%$ | $0.8 \%$ |
| Matanuska Electric Association | $1.1 \%$ | $1.4 \%$ | $1.5 \%$ |
| Munic. of Anchorage (\$/100k) | $\$ 0.50 / 0.005$ mils | $\$ 0.76 / 0.007$ mils | $\$ 0.76 / 0.007$ mils |

Carbon Emissions: 7,000-10,000 MTCO2eq

## III CEA/MEA/MOA - Habitat Summary

## CEA/MEA/MOA Regime - Spawning Habitat <br> ■ Baseline ■ Eklutna River ■Eklutna Lake



## CEA/MEA/MOA Regime - Rearing Habitat

■ Baseline Eklutna River ■ Eklutna Lake


The Conservation Fund

## III The Conservation Fund

Proposed PME Measures:
Flow Release Measure

- Replacement Dam w/ Fixed Wheel Gate \& Ladder (Measure P)

Upstream Passage

- Naturelike Entrance w/ Variable Exit Ladder (Measure P)

Downstream Passage

- Spill (April/May/June)

Other Improvements

- None*


## II TCF

Eklutna Water Volume (Acre-Ft)

| Eklutna Water Volume (Acre-Ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Inflows | Powerhouse <br> Water Usage | AWWU Water <br> Usage | Instream Flow <br> Habitat Usage | Peak Water <br> Releases <br> (Gated) | Powerhouse | AWWU | Instream Flow |
| Baseline | 262,456 | 238,444 | 24,670 | 0 | 0 | $\mathbf{9 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{0 \%}$ |
| TCF Alt | 262,456 | 120,797 | 24,670 | 116,072 | 654 | $\mathbf{4 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 4 \%}$ |



Channel Maintenance Flow = 1500 cfs Flush Y1 w/ 600 cfs - $72 \mathrm{Hr}-3$ of 10 years

## IITCF - Flow Releases



| Month | Flow Release <br> (cfs) | Average <br> Monthly <br> Inflow | Percent of <br> Inflow |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jan | 60 | 69 | $\mathbf{8 7 \%}$ |
| Feb | 60 | 53 | $\mathbf{1 1 3 \%}$ |
| Mar | 60 | 43 | $\mathbf{1 4 0 \%}$ |
| Apr | 300 | 58 | $\mathbf{5 1 7 \%}$ |
| May | 300 | 201 | $\mathbf{1 4 9 \%}$ |
| Jun | 300 | 752 | $\mathbf{4 0 \%}$ |
| Jul | 200 | 1,077 | $\mathbf{1 9 \%}$ |
| Aug | 200 | 941 | $\mathbf{2 1 \%}$ |
| Sep | 200 | 638 | $\mathbf{3 1 \%}$ |
| Oct | 60 | 284 | $\mathbf{2 1 \%}$ |
| Nov | 60 | 118 | $\mathbf{5 1 \%}$ |
| Dec | 60 | 90 | $\mathbf{6 7 \%}$ |

## III TCF - Summary

| CAPEX (\$M) |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Replacement Dam | $\$ 113.3$ |  |
| Total | $\$ 113.3$ |  |
| O\&M (\$/Yr) |  |  |
| Replacement Dam | $\$ 299,000$ |  |
| Total (\$/Yr) | $\$ 299,000$ |  |
| Annualized Costs (\$Yr) |  |  |
| Replacement Energy (MWh) | TCF Alt |  |
| Energy Cost (\$/kWh) | 81,632 |  |
| Total (\$/Yr) | $\$ 73$ |  |
| TCF Alt |  |  |
| CAPEX TIER | $\$ 10,474,000$ |  |
| CAPEX | $\$ 6,922,000$ |  |
| O\&M | $\$ 592,000$ |  |
| Replacement Energy | $\$ 7,144,000$ |  |
| Total | $\$ 18,210,000$ |  |
| Present Worth (\$) |  |  |
| Present Value | $\mathbf{\$ 2 9 8 , 0 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ |  |


| Estimated Ratepayer/Taxpayer Impacts |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Chugach Electric Association | $\mathbf{3 . 5 \%}$ |
| Matanuska Electric Association | $\mathbf{5 . 5 \%}$ |
| Munic. of Anchorage $(\$ / 100 \mathrm{k})$ | $\$ 4.29$ / 0.043 mils |

```
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Carbon Emissions: 35,000 MTCO2eq

## II|TCF - Habitat Summary

## TCF Regime - Spawning Habitat

■ Baseline ■Eklutna River ■Eklutna Lake


## Total CAPEX*

*Excludes costs associated with upgrades at MEA EGS plant for winter shutdown of powerhouse

## II Total CAPEX



## Annual O\&M Costs*

[^0]
## II Annual O\&M Costs



Energy Losses

## III Replacement Energy Cost



Total Annualized Costs
35-Years

## III 35 -Yr Annualized Costs

## Input Parameters

- Discount Rate - 5\%
- Annual Increase in O\&M Costs - 3\%
- Annual Increase in Energy Costs - 1\%
- Carbon Emissions - 0.43 $\mathrm{MTCO}_{2} \mathrm{eq} / \mathrm{MWh}$


## Utility Pricing

- CEA: \$64.61/MWh
- MEA: \$88.48/MWh


## Input Pricing

- \$73.13/MWh

Ownership
Matanuska Electric:

Chugach Electric:
Municipality of Anchorage: 64.29\% of Energy, CAPEX, O\&M

## Ratepayer Impacts:

Matanuska Electric:
1.12\% Energy Rate Increase /\$1M

Chugach Electric:
0.3\% Energy Rate Increase /\$1M

Municipality of Anchorage:
.03 mils / \$1M
(\$3 Increased Property Tax per \$/100k Property Value)

## III 35 -Yr Annualized Costs



## Habitat Improvements

## III Chinook Spawning Habitat Gains



## II |Coho Spawning Habitat Gains



## III Sockeye Spawning Habitat Gains



## III Chinook Rearing Habitat Gains



## III Coho Rearing Habitat Gains



## Cost Effectiveness Model Results

## II | Cost Effectiveness - Chinook Spawning Habitat



## II | Cost Effectiveness - Chinook Spawning Habitat

## Cost Effective Alternatives for Habitat Gains

- AWWU Portal - Flow Level 1
- Owner/ADNR Alternative
- Annual Costs - $\mathbf{\$ 2 . 5 \mathrm { M }}$
- Habitat Gains - 1.5 Acres
- \$1.7M/Acre
- AWWU Portal - Flow Level 2
- Owner/ADNR Alternative
- Annual Costs - $\$ 3.5 \mathrm{M}$
- Habitat Gains - 1.5 Acres
- \$2.3M/Acre
- AWWU Portal - Flow Level 3
- Owner/ADNR Alternative
- Annual Costs - $\$ 3.9 \mathrm{M}$
- Habitat Gains - 1.6 Acres
- \$2.5M/Acre
- Dam Release - Flow Level 5 Modified
- NMFS Alternative
- Annual Costs $-\$ 5.8 \mathrm{M}$
- Habitat Gains - 1.9 Acres
- \$3.1M/Acre
- Dam Release - Flow Level 6 Modified
- NMFS Alternative
- Annual Costs - $\mathbf{\$ 6 . 1} \mathrm{M}$
- Habitat Gains - 1.9 Acres
- $\$ 3.2 \mathrm{M} /$ Acre
- Dam Release - Flow Level 7
- NMFS Alternative
- Annual Costs - $\$ 6.4 \mathrm{M}$
- Habitat Gains - 2.0 Acres
- \$3.2M/Acre
- Variable Exit Fishway - Flow Level 7
- Trout Unlimited Alternative
- Annual Costs - $\$ 10.0 \mathrm{M}$
- Habitat Gains - 4.9 Acres
- \$2.1M/Acre


## III Cost Effectiveness - Coho Spawning Habitat



## II Cost Effectiveness - Coho Spawning Habitat

Cost Effective Alternatives for Habitat Gains

- AWWU Portal - Flow Level 1
- Owner/ADNR Alternative
- Annual Costs - $\$ 2.5 \mathrm{M}$
- Habitat Gains - 1.6 Acres
- \$1.6M/Yr/Acre
- AWWU Portal - Flow Level 2
- Owner/ADNR Alternative
- Annual Costs - $\$ 3.5 \mathrm{M}$
- Habitat Gains - 1.6 Acres
- \$2.2M/Yr/Acre
- Dam Release - Flow Level 5 Modified
- NMFS Alternative
- Annual Costs - $\$ 5.8 \mathrm{M}$
- Habitat Gains - 2.3 Acres
- \$2.5M/Yr/Acre
- Variable Exit Fishway - Flow Level 7
- Trout Unlimited Alternative
- Annual Costs - $\$ 10.0 \mathrm{M}$
- Habitat Gains - 5.2 Acres
- \$1.9M/Yr/Acre


## III Cost Effectiveness - Sockeye Spawning Habitat



## II] Cost Effectiveness - Sockeye Spawning Habitat

Cost Effective Alternatives for Habitat Gains

- AWWU Portal - Flow Level 1
- Owner Alternative
- Annual Costs - $\mathbf{\$ 2 . 5 \mathrm { M }}$
- Habitat Gains - 1.2 Acres
- \$2.0M/Acre
- Dam Release - Flow Level 5 Modified
- NMFS Alternative
- Annual Costs - $\$ 5.8 \mathrm{M}$
- Habitat Gains - 1.5 Acres
- \$3.8M/Acre
- Variable Exit Fishway - Flow Level 7
- Trout Unlimited Alternative
- Annual Costs - $\$ 10.0 \mathrm{M}$
- Habitat Gains - 4.2 Acres
- \$2.4M/Acre


## III Cost Effectiveness - Chinook Rearing Habitat



## II Cost Effectiveness - Chinook Rearing Habitat

Cost Effective Alternatives for Habitat Gains

- AWWU Portal - Flow Level 1 / 2 / 3
- Owner/ADNR/ADFG Alternative
- Annual Costs - $\$ 2.5 / \$ 3.7 \mathrm{M} / \$ 4.1 \mathrm{M}$
- Habitat Gains - 6.3 / 7.2 / 8.1 Acres
- \$400k - \$480k/Acre
- Variable Exit Fishway - Alt 2
- Trout Unlimited Alternative
- Annual Costs - \$12.6M
- Habitat Gains - 22.5 Acres
- \$560k/Acre
- Dam Release - Flow Level 5 / 6 / 7
- NMFS Alternative
- Annual Costs - $\$ 5.8 \mathrm{M} / \$ 6.1 \mathrm{M} / \$ 6.4 \mathrm{M}$
- Habitat Gains - 13.3 / 13.7 / 14.3 Acres
- \$440k - \$444k/Acre
- Variable Exit Fishway - FL 7 / Alt 1
- Trout Unlimited Alternative
- Annual Costs - \$10.0M / \$10.9M
- Habitat Gains - 18.2 / 19.7 Acres
- \$550k/Acre


## III Cost Effectiveness - Coho Rearing Habitat



## III Cost Effectiveness - Coho Rearing Habitat

Cost Effective Alternatives for Habitat Gains

- AWWU Portal - Flow Level 1 / 2 / 3
- Owner/ADNR/ADFG Alternative
- Annual Costs - $\$ 2.5 / \$ 3.7 \mathrm{M} / \$ 4.0 \mathrm{M}$
- Habitat Gains - 9.9 / 11.6 / 12.7 Acres
- $\$ 256 \mathrm{k}$ - $\$ 318 \mathrm{k} /$ Acre
- Variable Exit Fishway - Alt 2
- Trout Unlimited Alternative
- Annual Costs - \$12.6M
- Habitat Gains - 33.0 Acres
- \$380k/Acre
- Dam Release - Flow Level 5 / 6 / 7 Modified
- NMFS Alternative
- Annual Costs - $\$ 5.8 \mathrm{M} / \$ 6.1 \mathrm{M} / \$ 6.4 \mathrm{M}$
- Habitat Gains - 18.9 / 19.7 / 20.5 Acres
- \$306k - \$310k/Acre
- Variable Exit Fishway - FL 7 / Alt 1
- Trout Unlimited Alternative
- Annual Costs - \$10.0M / \$10.9M
- Habitat Gains - 26.5 / 28.8 Acres
- $\$ 380 \mathrm{k} /$ Acre

Lunch

## Geomorphic Considerations

## II Geomorphic Considerations

- Effects of flow regime on substrate, channel maintenance, riparian
- HEC-RAS 1-D model results (substrate, cross section/profile changes)
- 23 different flow regimes
- End of 35 years (and 10 years)
- Primary variations among alternatives modeled
- Magnitude of peak (and daily) flows
- Frequency of peak (annual or every 3 years)
- Shape of peak flow hydrograph (72 hours full peak vs. shaped peak)
- Spawning-sized substrate
- Coho/sockeye 16-64mm
- Chinook 64-128 mm


## III Unique Channel Maintenance Flows

| Run number | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Name | Flow Level 1 | Flow Level 2 | Flow Level 3 | Flow Level 4 | Flow Level 5 | Flow Level 6 | Flow Level 7 | TCF | NVE | NMFS alt 1 | NMFS alt 2 | USFWS $1$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { USFWS } \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \hline \text { TU Alt } \\ \text { VE-1A } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \hline \text { TU Alt } \\ \text { VE-1B } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { TU Alt } \\ \text { VE-1D } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TU Alt } \\ & \text { VE-2A } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \hline \text { TU Alt } \\ \text { VE-2B } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { TU Alt } \\ \text { VE-2C } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { TU Alt } \\ & \text { VE- } \\ & \text { FL7A } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TU Alt } \\ & \text { VE- } \\ & \text { FL7B } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { TU Alt } \\ \text { VE- } \\ \text { FL7C } \end{gathered}$ | USFWS2 <br> VAR |
| Peak | 220 | 325 | 400 | 450 | 500 | 550 | 600 | 1500 | 700 | 500 | 550 | 600 | 600 | 800 <br> once <br> then <br> 400 | 800 <br> once <br> then <br> 300 | $\begin{gathered} 800 \\ \text { once } \end{gathered}$ | 800 <br> once <br> then <br> 700 | 800 <br> once <br> then <br> 525 | $800$ once | 700 once then 320 | 700 once then 240 | $700$ once | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Variable } \\ & 400-600 \end{aligned}$ |
| Freq/ <br> Shaped or 72 hrs? | 3 years shaped | 3 years shaped | 3 years shaped | 3 years shaped | 3 years shaped | 3 years shaped | 3 years shaped |  | every year for 72 hours | 3 years for 72 hours | 3 years for 72 hours |  | every year for 72 hours | 3 years shaped | 3 years shaped | shaped | 3 years shaped | 3 years shaped | shaped | 3 years shaped | 3 years shaped | shaped |  |
| Peak: Mean Annual Flow Ratio | 6.5 | 7.8 | 7.9 | 7.6 | 7.3 | 7.1 | 7 | 14.8 | 4.6 | 6.9 | 7 | 7 | 5.3 | 3.3 | 2.5 | n/a | 3.8 | 2.9 | n/a | 3.2 | 2.4 | n/a | Variable |

## II |All Alternatives (after 35 years)



## II Fish Survey Reaches




## III All Alternatives (after 35 years)

Median Grain Size by Fish Reach by Alternative


## III All Alternatives (after 35 years)

Percent of Transects in Grain Size Category By Alternative


## II|Frequency of Peak of Flows (annual vs. every 3 years after 35 years of flows)

- Compare runs 7 (FL7, peak 600 cfs every 3 years) and 12 (FL7, peak 600 cfs every year)




## II Shape of Peak Flow Hydrograph

- Compare:
- Runs 5 to 10 (FL5, 500 cfs)
- Runs 6 to 11 (FL 6, 550 cfs)



## II Shape of Peak Flow Hydrograph (after 35 years) <br> Median Grain Size by Fish Reach by Alternative






## III Short-term vs. Long-term Changes

Percent of Transects $\mathbf{1 6 - 1 2 8 ~ m m ~ a n d ~ A v e r a g e ~ D 5 0 ~}(\mathrm{mm})$ after 10 and 35 years


## II| Geomorphic Considerations Summary

- All (22) flow regimes analyzed provide spawning-sized gravel areas ( $16-128 \mathrm{~mm}$ )
- Subtle differences among alternatives ( 70 to 80 percent of transects suitable)
- Confined (canyon) reaches = generally larger sized sediment
- Higher percentage of transects best for coho/sockeye (16-64mm) than Chinook (64128mm)
- Flow magnitude: generally higher flows/peaks =coarser sediment
- Shaped vs. 72-hour peak: higher percentage of suitable spawning transects for 72hour peaks suggest peak flow part of shaped hydrographs could be longer (need to explore more)
- Frequency of peaks (every year vs every 3 years):
- Every year slightly coarser, but overall similar percent suitable for spawning
- Some differences among reaches (confined reaches)
- Short term (10 years) vs long term (35 years): few differences among alternatives after 10 years, suggests trends take time to develop


## III Channel Maintenance Flow Cost Summary

| Run number | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Name | Flow Level 1 | Flow Level 2 | Flow Level 3 | Flow Level 4 | Flow Level 5 | Flow Level 6 | Flow Level 7 | TCF | NVE | NMFS alt 1 | NMFS alt 2 | $\begin{gathered} \text { USFWS } \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { USFWS } \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \hline \text { TU Alt } \\ \text { VE-1A } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline \text { TU Alt } \\ \text { VE-1B } \end{array}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { TU Alt } \\ \text { VE-1D } \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TU Alt } \\ & \text { VE-2A } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { TU Alt } \\ \text { VE-2B } \end{array}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { TU Alt } \\ \text { VE-2C } \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { TU Alt } \\ \text { VE- } \\ \text { FL7A } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { TU Alt } \\ \text { VE- } \\ \text { FL7B } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { TU Alt } \\ \text { VE- } \\ \text { FL7C } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { USFWS2 } \\ \text { VAR } \end{gathered}$ |
| Peak | 220 | 325 | 400 | 450 | 500 | 550 | 600 | 1500 | 700 | 500 | 550 | 600 | 600 | 800 <br> once <br> then $400$ | 800 <br> once <br> then <br> 300 | $\begin{gathered} 800 \\ \text { once } \end{gathered}$ | 800 once then 700 | 800 <br> once <br> then <br> 525 | $\begin{gathered} 800 \\ \text { once } \end{gathered}$ | 700 once then 320 | 700 once then 240 | 700 once | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Variable } \\ & 400-600 \end{aligned}$ |
| Freq/ <br> Shaped or 72 hrs? | 3 years shaped | 3 years shaped | 3 years shaped | 3 years shaped | 3 years shaped | 3 years shaped | 3 years shaped | every year shaped | every year for <br> 72 hours | 3 years for 72 hours | 3 years for 72 hours | every year for 72 hours | every year for 72 | 3 years shaped | 3 years shaped | shaped | 3 years shaped | 3 years shaped | shaped | 3 years shaped | 3 years shaped | shaped | every year for 72 hours |
| Peak: Mean Annual Flow Ratio | 6.5 | 7.8 | 7.9 | 7.6 | 7.3 | 7.1 | 7 | 14.8 | 4.6 | 6.9 | 7 | 7 | 5.3 | 3.3 | 2.5 | n/a | 3.8 | 2.9 | n/a | 3.2 | 2.4 | n/a | Variable |
| Average <br> Annual <br> Release <br> (Acre-Ft) | 291 | 350 | 427 | 481 | 537 | 593 | 654 | 4902 | 2287 | 537 | 593 | 1961 | 1961 | 502 | 401 | 75 | 837 | 647 | 75 | 414 | 327 | 65 | 1743 |
| Annual Average Cost | \$13,716 | \$16,497 | \$20,126 | \$22,671 | \$25,311 | \$27,950 | \$30,825 | \$231,047 | \$107,794 | \$25,311 | \$27,950 | \$92,428 | \$92,428 | \$23,662 | \$18,917 | \$3,520 | \$39,451 | \$30,480 | \$3,520 | \$19,514 | \$15,397 | \$3,080 | \$82,153 |
| Present Worth (\$) | \$224,582 | \$270,116 | \$329,541 | \$371,216 | \$414,435 | \$457,653 | \$504,730 | \$3,783,162 | \$1,765,013 | \$414,435 | \$457,653 | \$1,513,419 | \$1,513,419 | \$387,438 | \$309,747 | \$57,639 | \$645,977 | \$499,085 | \$57,639 | \$319,516 | \$25,115 | \$50,429 | \$1,345,176 |

## II Geomorphic Recommendations for Peak Flows

- Also consider other non-substrate size geomorphic work/values in natural systems (spring-fed vs. disturbance-regime systems)
- Sediment source erosion, sediment sorting
- Disturbance in low flow vs. high flow channel areas
- Riparian conditions
- Remove intruding vegetation
- Unvegetated fine sediment needed for cottonwood regeneration
- Recommendations for peak flow regime
- Peak flow approx. 7 times mean annual flow - mimic rainfall peak in similar AK rivers
- Provide peak 3 out of 9 years to allow for natural variability of incoming flows
- Shaped hydrograph with long tail - rising vs. descending limb transport patterns
- Consider an initial longer peak (maybe 7 days?) to help re-set channel (based on test flow release dynamics)

Key Takeaways and Next Steps

## II Key Takeaways

- Under current conditions, increasing flows beyond Flow Level 7 have reduce spawning habitat for Chinook and Coho in Eklutna River and may promote detrimental anchor ice in winter
- Replacement dam and floating surface collector have significant annualized costs and associated ratepayer impacts
- Existing Dam Release with or without Fish Ladder requires winter shutdown of powerhouse
- Fixed Wheel Gate is the best means of achieving channel maintenance flows for anything above Flow Level 1
- AWWU Portal Valve flow release options provides $2 x-3 x$ the spawning and rearing habitat compared to baseline conditions ( in 11 of 12 miles...) - achieve 87-93\% of available habitat...
- Floating Surface Collector would not be effective (icing) for passing out-migrating juveniles and has significant costs
- Spill for downstream passage may have reduced effectiveness due to low attraction velocities in Eklutna Lake
- Eklutna Lake studies have shown low primary productivity, high levels of turbidity, and a kokanee population of significantly smaller size and lower fecundity than has been documented in other systems-all indications that Eklutna Lake in its current state is not likely to support a healthy population of ocean-run sockeye. Like Skilak Lake, where ADFG has documented an increase in lake turbidity with glacial melt and associated declines in sockeye population/ primary productivity, Eklutna Lake may be on a similar trajectory toward decreasing habitat quality resulting from similar effects of climate change.


## II] Next Steps

- After Meeting 3 (June)
- Provide preferred alternative(s) by June $30^{\text {th }}$
- Meeting 4 (July)
- Discuss Positive/Negative Impacts to Water Supply, Wetlands, Wildlife, Recreation, Cultural Resources
- Initiate discussion regarding appropriate monitoring program and potential adaptive management
- Meeting 5 (August)
- Continue discussing appropriate monitoring program and potential adaptive management
- Outline Draft Fish and Wildlife Program


## McMillen


[^0]:    *Excludes costs associated with Adaptive Management

