

**From:** [Ken Huckeba](#)  
**To:** [Sam Owen](#)  
**Subject:** Grievous expansion  
**Date:** Monday, February 19, 2024 9:41:14 PM

---

The only comment I have is for all parties involved to abide by the 1991 agreement at its minimum requirement.

The agreement stated to do a study and low or no cost mitigation.

The agreement did not state to include any and all input. "Stakeholders" were never mentioned in the agreement. The only parties privy to input are clearly stated.

The agreement did not state that radical outside environmental NGOs and non profits should be allowed a consideration whatsoever. The agreement did not say the dam should be removed.

The agreement did not state that an alternative should be formulated, particularly nor a \$57M project specifically tailored as a foundation towards an \$800-900M pumped storage unit to further the inflation causing, grid destroying, corrupt renewable portfolio standard.

Review the agreement and abide by it in least cost fashion. We have no funds for any other path forward.

Ken Huckeba  
907-227-6390  
MEA member